

The shape of a tridiagonal pair*

Tatsuro Ito and Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V . We make an assumption about this pair. Let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ which is not a root of unity. We assume A and A^* satisfy the q -Serre relations

$$\begin{aligned} A^3 A^* - [3] A^2 A^* A + [3] A A^* A^2 - A^* A^3 &= 0, \\ A^{*3} A - [3] A^{*2} A A^* + [3] A^* A A^{*2} - A A^{*3} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $[3] = (q^3 - q^{-3})/(q - q^{-1})$. Let $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ denote the shape vector for A, A^* . We show the entries in this shape vector are bounded above by binomial coefficients as follows:

$$\rho_i \leq \binom{d}{i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

We obtain this result by displaying a spanning set for V .

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field and V will denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ denote a linear transformation and let W denote a subspace of V . We call W an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}.$$

We say A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A .

We now recall the notion of a *tridiagonal pair*.

Definition 1.1 [6] By a *tridiagonal pair* on V , we mean an ordered pair A, A^* where $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ are linear transformations which satisfy the following four conditions.

***Keywords.** Leonard pair, tridiagonal pair, Askey scheme, q -Racah polynomial, subconstituent algebra.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37; Secondary 05E35, 15A21, 33C45, 33D45.

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (1)$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (2)$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{d+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W, A^*W \subseteq W, W \neq 0, W \neq V$.

Note 1.2 According to a common notational convention, A^* denotes the conjugate-transpose of A . We are not using this convention. In a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

In order to motivate our results we recall a few facts about tridiagonal pairs. Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V and let the integers d, δ be as in Definition 1.1(ii), (iii) respectively. By [6, Lemma 4.5] we have $d = \delta$; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^* . An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is called *standard* whenever it satisfies (1) (resp. (2)). We comment on the uniqueness of the standard ordering. Let $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A . Then the ordering $V_d, V_{d-1}, \dots, V_0$ is standard and no other ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^* . Let $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A^* . By [6, Corollary 5.7], for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the spaces V_i, V_i^* have the same dimension; we denote this common dimension by ρ_i . By the construction $\rho_i \neq 0$. By [6, Corollary 5.7] and [6, Corollary 6.6], the sequence $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ is symmetric and unimodal; that is $\rho_i = \rho_{d-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\rho_{i-1} \leq \rho_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d/2$. We refer to the sequence $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ as the *shape vector* of A, A^* .

The following special case has received a lot of attention. By a *Leonard pair* we mean a tridiagonal pair which has shape vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. There is a natural correspondence between the Leonard pairs and a family of orthogonal polynomials consisting of the q -Racah polynomials [2] and some related polynomials of the Askey-scheme [8], [19], [20]. There is a classification of Leonard pairs in [12]. This classification amounts to a linear algebraic version of a theorem of D. Leonard [3], [9] concerning the q -Racah polynomials. See [11], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20] for more information about Leonard pairs.

In this paper our focus is on general tridiagonal pairs. We will discuss the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3 (*Ito, Tanabe, Terwilliger [6]*) *Let $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ denote the shape vector of a tridiagonal pair. Then*

$$\rho_i \leq \binom{d}{i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

In the present paper we will prove Conjecture 1.3 for a certain type of tridiagonal pair. We will describe this type shortly. For now we review some more facts about general tridiagonal pairs.

Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V . By [6, Theorem 10.1] there exists a sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$ such that both

$$[A, A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^*] = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$[A^*, A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A + AA^*) - \varrho^*A] = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $[r, s]$ means $rs - sr$. The sequence is unique if the diameter is at least 3. The equations (3), (4) are known as the *tridiagonal relations* [6], [13]. An ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*) will be called *standard* whenever the corresponding ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is standard. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). By [6, Theorem 11.1] we have

$$\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) = \varrho \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (5)$$

$$\theta_{i-1}^{*2} - \beta\theta_{i-1}^*\theta_i^* + \theta_i^{*2} - \gamma^*(\theta_{i-1}^* + \theta_i^*) = \varrho^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d). \quad (6)$$

By [6, Theorem 4.6] there exists a unique sequence $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ consisting of subspaces of V such that

$$V = U_0 + U_1 + \dots + U_d \quad (\text{direct sum}), \quad (7)$$

$$(A - \theta_i I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i < d), \quad (A - \theta_d I)U_d = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (0 < i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_0^* I)U_0 = 0. \quad (9)$$

By [6, Corollary 5.7] the space U_i has dimension ρ_i for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ is the shape vector for A, A^* . We call the sequence $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ the *split decomposition* for A, A^* (with respect to the orderings $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ and $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $F_i : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation which satisfies both

$$(F_i - I)U_i = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$F_i U_j = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \quad (11)$$

In other words F_i is the projection map from V onto U_i . We observe

$$F_i F_j = \delta_{ij} F_i \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d),$$

$$F_0 + F_1 + \dots + F_d = I,$$

$$F_i V = U_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

In view of (8), (9) we define

$$R = A - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_h F_h, \quad (12)$$

$$L = A^* - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_h^* F_h \quad (13)$$

and observe

$$RU_i \subseteq U_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i < d), \quad RU_d = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$LU_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (0 < i \leq d), \quad LU_0 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Combining (14), (15) with (7) we find

$$R^{d+1} = 0, \quad L^{d+1} = 0. \quad (16)$$

We call R (resp. L) the *raising map* (resp. *lowering map*) for A, A^* with respect to $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$.

We now describe the type of tridiagonal pair for which we will prove Conjecture 1.3.

Definition 1.4 For the rest of this paper, we assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed with characteristic 0. We fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{K}$ which is not a root of unity. We let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V which satisfies (3), (4) where

$$\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}, \quad \gamma = \gamma^* = \varrho = \varrho^* = 0. \quad (17)$$

Note 1.5 The scalar q which appears in [6] is the same as q^2 in the present paper. We make this adjustment for notational convenience.

Before proceeding we discuss the significance of assumption (17) from several points of view. Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4. Evaluating (3), (4) using (17) we obtain

$$A^3 A^* - [3]A^2 A^* A + [3]A A^* A^2 - A^* A^3 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$A^* A^3 - [3]A^* A^2 A A^* + [3]A^* A A^* A^2 - A A^* A^3 = 0. \quad (19)$$

We are using the notation

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (20)$$

The equations (18), (19) are known as the *q-Serre relations*, and are among the defining relations for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$. See [4] and [10] for more information on $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$.

Assumption (17) has the following significance for the eigenvalues. Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). Evaluating (5) using $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$, $\gamma = 0$, $\varrho = 0$ we find either $\theta_i = q^2 \theta_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ or $\theta_i = q^{-2} \theta_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Replacing $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ by $\theta_d, \theta_{d-1}, \dots, \theta_0$ if necessary we may assume $\theta_i = q^2 \theta_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In this case there exists $a \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\theta_i = a q^{2i-d} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (21)$$

Similarly replacing $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ by $\theta_d^*, \theta_{d-1}^*, \dots, \theta_0^*$ if necessary, there exists $a^* \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\theta_i^* = a^* q^{d-2i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (22)$$

Assumption (17) has the following significance for the raising and lowering maps. Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4. Let $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ denote the split decomposition with respect to (21), (22) and let R (resp. L) denote the corresponding raising (resp. lowering) map. By [6, Theorem 12.2] the maps R, L satisfy the q -Serre relations

$$R^3 L - [3] R^2 L R + [3] R L R^2 - L R^3 = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$L^3 R - [3] L^2 R L + [3] L R L^2 - R L^3 = 0. \quad (24)$$

We emphasize that each of the pairs R, L and A, A^* satisfy the q -Serre relations. Each of R, L is nilpotent on V and each of A, A^* is diagonalizable on V .

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.6 *Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4 and let $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ denote the corresponding shape vector. Then*

$$\rho_i \leq \binom{d}{i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we display a spanning set for U_i consisting of $\binom{d}{i}$ vectors. We proceed as follows.

Theorem 1.7 *Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4. Let $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ denote the split decomposition with respect to (21), (22) and let R, L denote the corresponding raising and lowering maps. Let v denote a nonzero vector in U_0 . Then V is spanned by the vectors of the form*

$$L^{i_1} R^{i_2} L^{i_3} R^{i_4} \dots R^{i_n} v,$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that n is a nonnegative even integer, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq d$.

We prove Theorem 1.7 at the end of Section 2. In order to illustrate Theorem 1.7 we now restate it in concrete terms for $d = 3$.

Corollary 1.8 *With reference to Theorem 1.7, for $d = 3$ the space V is spanned by the vectors*

$$v, \quad Rv, \quad R^2v, \quad R^3v, \quad LR^2v, \quad LR^3v, \quad L^2R^3v, \quad RL^2R^3v.$$

Combining Theorem 1.7 with (14), (15) we routinely obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.9 *Let A, A^* denote the tridiagonal pair in Definition 1.4. Let $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ denote the split decomposition with respect to (21), (22) and let R, L denote the corresponding raising and lowering maps. Let v denote a nonzero vector in U_0 . Then for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the space U_i is spanned by the vectors of the form*

$$L^{i_1} R^{i_2} L^{i_3} R^{i_4} \dots R^{i_n} v,$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that (i) n is a nonnegative even integer; (ii) $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq d$ and $i = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h (-1)^h$.

Corollary 1.10 *With reference to Theorem 1.9, for $d = 3$ the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

(i) *The space U_0 is spanned by*

$$v.$$

(ii) *The space U_1 is spanned by*

$$Rv, \quad LR^2v, \quad L^2R^3v.$$

(iii) *The space U_2 is spanned by*

$$R^2v, \quad LR^3v, \quad RL^2R^3v.$$

(iv) *The space U_3 is spanned by*

$$R^3v.$$

2 The algebra $\mathcal{A}$

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 1.7. In order to do this we undertake a careful investigation of the q -Serre relations. We begin with a definition.

Definition 2.1 We let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with identity generated by symbols x, y subject to the q -Serre relations

$$\begin{aligned} x^3y - [3]x^2yx + [3]xyx^2 - yx^3 &= 0, \\ y^3x - [3]y^2xy + [3]yxy^2 - xy^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2 The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in Definition 2.1 is often called the *positive part of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$* .

Definition 2.3 Referring to Definition 2.1, we let $\sigma_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra isomorphism which sends x to y and y to x . We let $\sigma_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra antiisomorphism which stabilizes each of x, y . The action of σ_1 inverts the word order. We observe σ_0, σ_1 commute. We define $\sigma = \sigma_0\sigma_1$ and observe each of $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma$ is an involution.

Example 2.4 Applying each of $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma$ to xyx^2y^2 we get

$$xyy^2x^2, \quad y^2x^2yx, \quad x^2y^2xy$$

respectively.

We now state a lemma which we will find useful. We first recall some notation. Let n denote a nonnegative integer. With reference to (20) we define

$$[n]! = [n][n-1] \cdots [2][1].$$

We interpret $[0]! = 1$. We also define

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[i]![n-i]!} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

Lemma 2.5 [10, Proposition 7.1.5] *The following relations hold in $\mathcal{A}$. Let r denote a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2r+1} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} 2r+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} x^i y^r x^{2r+1-i} = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2r+1} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} 2r+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} y^i x^r y^{2r+1-i} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Remark 2.6 The relations (25), (26) are often called the *higher order q -Serre relations* [10, p.57].

We now give the higher order q -Serre relations in a modified form. We will use the following notation. For all integers n, k with k nonnegative we define

$$[n]_k = [n][n-1] \cdots [n-k+1].$$

We interpret $[n]_0 = 1$.

Lemma 2.7 *The following relations hold in $\mathcal{A}$. Let r denote a positive integer and let n denote an integer at least $2r+1$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq n$ we have*

$$x^i y^r x^{n-i} = \sum_{\xi=0}^r \frac{[i]_{\xi} [r-i]_{r-\xi} [n-i]_r}{[\xi]_{\xi} [r-\xi]_{r-\xi} [n-\xi]_r} x^{\xi} y^r x^{n-\xi} \quad (27)$$

$$+ \sum_{\zeta=0}^{r-1} \frac{[i]_{r+1} [i-n+r-1]_{r-\zeta-1} [n-i]_{\zeta}}{[n-\zeta]_{r+1} [r-\zeta-1]_{r-\zeta-1} [\zeta]_{\zeta}} x^{n-\zeta} y^r x^{\zeta}. \quad (28)$$

Proof: We abbreviate $w_i = x^i y^r x^{n-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. We obtain a system of $n - 2r$ linear equations relating $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n$ as follows. For $0 \leq j \leq n - 2r - 1$ we multiply each term in (25) on the left by x^j and on the right by $x^{n-2r-1-j}$. The result is a linear equation relating $w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_{j+2r+1}$. This yields a system of $n - 2r$ linear equations involving $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n$. We now solve this system to obtain each of $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n$ in terms of $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_r$ and $w_{n-r+1}, w_{n-r+2}, \dots, w_n$. We define a $(n + 1) \times (2r + 1)$ matrix S as follows. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $-r \leq j \leq r$ the entry $S_{ij} = q^{2ij}$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ let S_i denote row i of S . Observe that for $0 \leq j \leq n - 2r - 1$ the vectors $S_j, S_{j+1}, \dots, S_{j+2r+1}$ satisfy the same equation as the one for $w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_{j+2r+1}$ which we mentioned above. Observe $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{2r}$ are linearly independent since S is essentially Vandermonde. Therefore $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{2r}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{K}^{2r+1}$. Consider the linear transformation ε from $\mathbb{K}^{2r+1}$ to $\text{Span}(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ which sends S_i to w_i for $0 \leq i \leq 2r$. From our above comments ε sends S_i to w_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$S_i = \sum_{\xi=0}^r \frac{[i]_{\xi}[r-i]_{r-\xi}[n-i]_r}{[\xi]_{\xi}[r-\xi]_{r-\xi}[n-\xi]_r} S_{\xi} \quad (29)$$

$$+ \sum_{\zeta=0}^{r-1} \frac{[i]_{r+1}[i-n+r-1]_{r-\zeta-1}[n-i]_{\zeta}}{[n-\zeta]_{r+1}[r-\zeta-1]_{r-\zeta-1}[\zeta]_{\zeta}} S_{n-\zeta}. \quad (30)$$

This can be verified using Cramer's rule. Applying ε to each term in (29), (30) we obtain (27), (28). $\square$

Remark 2.8 Applying each of $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma$ to the relations in Lemma 2.7, we obtain additional relations involving x, y which hold in $\mathcal{A}$.

The following fact is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8.

Corollary 2.9 *The following linear dependencies hold in $\mathcal{A}$. Let r denote a positive integer and let n denote an integer at least $2r + 1$.*

(i) *For $r + 1 \leq i \leq n - r$ the element $x^i y^r x^{n-i}$ is contained in*

$$\text{Span}\{x^{\xi} y^r x^{n-\xi} \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq r\} + \text{Span}\{x^{n-\zeta} y^r x^{\zeta} \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq r - 1\}.$$

(ii) *For $r \leq i \leq n - r - 1$ the element $x^i y^r x^{n-i}$ is contained in*

$$\text{Span}\{x^{\xi} y^r x^{n-\xi} \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq r - 1\} + \text{Span}\{x^{n-\zeta} y^r x^{\zeta} \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq r\}.$$

(iii) *For $r + 1 \leq i \leq n - r$ the element $y^i x^r y^{n-i}$ is contained in*

$$\text{Span}\{y^{\xi} x^r y^{n-\xi} \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq r\} + \text{Span}\{y^{n-\zeta} x^r y^{\zeta} \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq r - 1\}.$$

(iv) *For $r \leq i \leq n - r - 1$ the element $y^i x^r y^{n-i}$ is contained in*

$$\text{Span}\{y^{\xi} x^r y^{n-\xi} \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq r - 1\} + \text{Span}\{y^{n-\zeta} x^r y^{\zeta} \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq r\}.$$

Definition 2.10 Let n denote a nonnegative integer. By a *word of length n* in $\mathcal{A}$, we mean an expression of the form

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n, \quad (31)$$

where $a_i = x$ or $a_i = y$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We interpret the word of length 0 as the identity element in $\mathcal{A}$. We say this word is *trivial*. By the *height* of the word (31) we mean the integer

$$|\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad a_i = x\}| - |\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad a_i = y\}|.$$

Definition 2.11 A word is said to be *balanced* whenever it has height 0. We observe that a balanced word has even length.

Example 2.12 We list the balanced words of length 4.

$$x^2 y^2, \quad xyxy, \quad xy^2 x, \quad y^2 x^2, \quad yxyx, \quad yx^2 y.$$

Definition 2.13 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}$. By the *height vector* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ we mean the sequence $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$, where h_i denotes the height of the word $a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \cdots a_n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. We observe that the height vector of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is the unique sequence $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$ such that (i) $h_n = 0$; (ii) for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $h_{i-1} - h_i = 1$ if $a_i = x$ and $h_{i-1} - h_i = -1$ if $a_i = y$.

Example 2.14 The word $x^2 y x^3 y^2 x$ has height vector

$$(3, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0).$$

Definition 2.15 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}$ and let $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$ denote the corresponding height vector. We say $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is *height-symmetric* whenever $h_i = h_{n-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. We observe that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is height-symmetric if and only if $a_i \neq a_{n-i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We remark that a height-symmetric word is balanced and invariant under σ .

Example 2.16 We list the height-symmetric words of length 4.

$$x^2 y^2, \quad xyxy, \quad y^2 x^2, \quad yxyx.$$

Definition 2.17 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}$ and let $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$ denote the corresponding height vector. We say $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is *nil* whenever at least one of $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n$ is negative.

Example 2.18 We list the nil balanced words of length 4.

$$x^2 y^2, \quad xyxy, \quad xy^2 x, \quad yx^2 y.$$

We mention a few subspaces of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.19 We let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the linear subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by the balanced words. We observe $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ which is invariant under each of $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma$. We let $\mathcal{B}^{sym}$ denote the linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}$ spanned by the height-symmetric words. We let $\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ denote the linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}$ spanned by the nil balanced words. We observe $\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ is a two sided ideal of $\mathcal{B}$ which is invariant under σ .

Theorem 2.20 *Let i, j, m, n denote nonnegative integers such that $i + n = j + m$. Define $b = y^i x^m y^n x^j$ and observe b is a balanced word. Then*

$$b \in \mathcal{B}^{sym} + \mathcal{B}^{nil}. \quad (32)$$

Proof: We proceed by induction on $\min(m, n)$. First assume $\min(m, n) = 0$. Then b is height-symmetric. Therefore $b \in \mathcal{B}^{sym}$ so (32) holds. Next assume $\min(m, n) > 0$. Replacing b by b^σ if necessary we may assume $m \geq n$. Assume for the moment that $m = n$. Then b is height-symmetric. Therefore $b \in \mathcal{B}^{sym}$ so (32) holds. Next assume $m > n$. We may assume $n \leq j$; otherwise $b \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. By Corollary 2.9(i), b is contained in

$$\text{Span}\{y^i x^\xi y^n x^{i+n-\xi} \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq n\} + \text{Span}\{y^i x^{i+n-\zeta} y^n x^\zeta \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq n-1\}. \quad (33)$$

By the induction hypothesis, for $0 \leq \xi \leq n-1$ the word $y^i x^\xi y^n x^{i+n-\xi}$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}^{sym} + \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. For $\xi = n$ the word $y^i x^\xi y^n x^{i+n-\xi}$ is height-symmetric and therefore contained in $\mathcal{B}^{sym}$. For $0 \leq \zeta \leq n-1$ the word $y^i x^{i+n-\zeta} y^n x^\zeta$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}^{nil}$. Apparently the space displayed in (33) is contained in $\mathcal{B}^{sym} + \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. It follows $b \in \mathcal{B}^{sym} + \mathcal{B}^{nil}$ as desired. $\square$

Lemma 2.21 *For $b \in \mathcal{B}^{sym} + \mathcal{B}^{nil}$ we have $b - b^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$.*

Proof: There exists $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}^{sym}$ and there exists $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$ such that $b = b_0 + b_1$. Observe $b_0^\sigma = b_0$ so $b - b^\sigma = b_1 - b_1^\sigma$. By assumption $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. Recall σ leaves $\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ invariant so $b_1^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. By these comments $b - b^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.22 *Let i, j, m, n denote nonnegative integers such that $i + n = j + m$. Define $b = y^i x^m y^n x^j$ and recall b is a balanced word. Then*

$$b - b^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}.$$

Proof: Immediate from Theorem 2.20 and Lemma 2.21. $\square$

Corollary 2.23 *For nonnegative integers i, j we have*

$$[y^i x^i, y^j x^j] \in \mathcal{B}^{nil}.$$

Proof: Apply Corollary 2.22 with $m = i$ and $n = j$. $\square$

In order to state the next theorem we make a definition.

Definition 2.24 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}$. Observe that there exists a unique sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ consisting of positive integers such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is one of $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_r}$ or $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_r}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_r}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_r}$. We call the sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ the *signature* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$.

Example 2.25 *Each of the words $yx^2 y^2 x$, $xy^2 x^2 y$ has signature $(1, 2, 2, 1)$.*

Definition 2.26 Let $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}$ and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ denote the corresponding signature. We say $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ is *reducible* whenever there exists an integer s ($2 \leq s \leq r-1$) such that $i_{s-1} \geq i_s < i_{s+1}$. We say a word is *irreducible* whenever it is not reducible.

Example 2.27 A word of length less than 4 is irreducible. The only reducible words of length 4 are xyx^2 and xyy^2 .

In the following lemma we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a given nontrivial word to be irreducible.

Lemma 2.28 Let $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ denote a nontrivial word in $\mathcal{A}$ and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ denote the corresponding signature. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.

(i) The word $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ is irreducible.

(ii) There exists an integer t ($1 \leq t \leq r$) such that

$$i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{t-1} < i_t \geq i_{t+1} \geq i_{t+2} \geq \cdots \geq i_{r-1} \geq i_r.$$

Proof: Routine using Definition 2.26. □

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. It turns out that the set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. However, in order to prove Theorem 1.7 all we need is that this set spans $\mathcal{A}$. We will prove this much for now and use the result to obtain Theorem 1.7. For the sake of completeness, in Section 3 we will prove that the set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.29 The irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ form a spanning set for $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ denote a finite sequence of positive integers. We say this sequence is *nonincreasing* whenever $\lambda_{i-1} \geq \lambda_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. Let Ψ denote the set consisting of the nonincreasing finite sequences of positive integers. There exists a certain linear order on Ψ called the reverse lexicographical order. This is defined as follows. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s)$ denote elements in Ψ . Then λ is less than μ in the reverse lexicographical order whenever (i) $r < s$; or (ii) $r = s$ and there exists an integer k ($1 \leq k \leq r$) such that $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ ($k+1 \leq i \leq r$), $\lambda_k < \mu_k$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ denote a finite sequence of positive integers. We let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the rearrangement of λ into a nonincreasing sequence. In other words $\bar{\lambda} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ where $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r\} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ as multisets and the sequence $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ is nonincreasing. We call $\bar{\lambda}$ the *rearrangement* of λ . Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ denote a finite sequence of positive integers. By an *inversion* in λ we mean an ordered pair (i, j) of integers such that $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$. We observe $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ if and only if λ has no inversions. We assume the present theorem is false and obtain a contradiction. By a *counterexample* we mean a word in $\mathcal{A}$ which is not contained in the span of the irreducible words. By assumption there exists a counterexample. Let w denote a counterexample and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ denote the corresponding signature. Without loss,

we assume that among all the counterexamples, the rearrangement $\bar{\lambda}$ is minimal with respect to the reverse lexicographical order. Moreover, without loss we may assume that among all the counterexamples for which the rearranged signature is equal to $\bar{\lambda}$, the signature λ has a minimal number of inversions. Since w is a counterexample it is reducible. Therefore there exists an integer s ($2 \leq s \leq r-1$) such that $\lambda_{s-1} \geq \lambda_s < \lambda_{s+1}$. By the construction there exist words w_1, w_2 in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $w = w_1 x^{\lambda_{s-1}} y^{\lambda_s} x^{\lambda_{s+1}} w_2$ or $w = w_1 y^{\lambda_{s-1}} x^{\lambda_s} y^{\lambda_{s+1}} w_2$. We treat the first case; the second case is treated in a similar manner. By Corollary 2.9(ii) (with $i = \lambda_{s-1}$, $r = \lambda_s$, $n = \lambda_{s-1} + \lambda_{s+1}$) we find w is contained in

$$\text{Span}\{w_1 x^\xi y^{\lambda_s} x^{\lambda_{s-1} + \lambda_{s+1} - \xi} w_2 \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq \lambda_s - 1\} \quad (34)$$

$$+ \text{Span}\{w_1 x^{\lambda_{s-1} + \lambda_{s+1} - \zeta} y^{\lambda_s} x^\zeta w_2 \mid 0 \leq \zeta \leq \lambda_s\}. \quad (35)$$

By our minimality assumptions no word which appears in (34) is a counterexample and no word which appears in (35) is a counterexample. Therefore the sum displayed in (34), (35) is contained in the span of the irreducible words. The word w is contained in this space so w is in the span of the irreducible words. This contradicts our assumption that w is a counterexample. The result follows. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7: By (23), (24) there exists an $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure on V such that $x.v = Rv$ and $y.v = Lv$ for all $v \in V$. Let b denote a balanced word in $\mathcal{A}$. Using (14) and (15) we find each of $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ is invariant under b . In particular U_0 is invariant under b . Let i denote a nonnegative integer. The word $y^i x^i$ is balanced so it leaves U_0 invariant. From (16) we find x^{d+1} vanishes on V . In particular x^{d+1} vanishes on U_0 . Therefore $y^i x^i$ vanishes on U_0 for $i > d$. We claim the elements $y^i x^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) mutually commute on U_0 . To see this, observe by (14), (15) that each nil word of $\mathcal{A}$ vanishes on U_0 . In particular each nil word in $\mathcal{B}$ vanishes on U_0 so $\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ vanishes on U_0 . By this and Corollary 2.23 we find $[y^i x^i, y^j x^j]$ vanishes on U_0 for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. We have now shown the elements $y^i x^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) mutually commute on U_0 . Since $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed there exists a nonzero $v \in U_0$ which is a common eigenvector of the $y^i x^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). Let W denote the subspace of V spanned by the vectors of the form

$$y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} x^{i_4} \dots x^{i_n} . v, \quad (36)$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that n is a nonnegative even integer, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq d$. We show $W = V$. Observe $v \in W$ so $W \neq 0$. By Definition 1.1(iv) the space V is irreducible as a module for A, A^* . In order to show $W = V$ we show W is invariant under each of A, A^* . Using Lemma 2.28 and Theorem 2.29 we routinely find $\mathcal{A}.v = W$. From this we find W is invariant under each of x, y . It follows W is invariant under each of R, L . Using (14), (15) we find the vector (36) is contained in U_i where $i = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h (-1)^h$. By this and (10), (11) the vector (36) is an eigenvector for each of $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_d$. We now see W is invariant under each of $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_d$. By these comments and (12), (13) we find W is invariant under each of A, A^* . We conclude $W = V$ and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.9: Combine Theorem 1.7 with (14) and (15). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6: For $0 \leq i \leq d$, the spanning set for U_i given in Theorem 1.9 has cardinality $\binom{d}{i}$. $\square$

3 Comments and suggestions for further research

In this section we give some comments and suggestions for further research. We begin with a comment.

Lemma 3.1 *The quotient algebra $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ is generated by the elements*

$$y^i x^i + \mathcal{B}^{nil} \quad (0 \leq i < \infty).$$

Moreover $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}^{nil}$ is commutative.

Proof: The first assertion is immediate from Theorem 2.29. The last assertion follows from this and Corollary 2.23. $\square$

Just before Theorem 2.29 we asserted that the set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. We will now prove this assertion.

Theorem 3.2 *The set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof: Let X denote the set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$. Then X spans $\mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 2.29. For $0 \leq n < \infty$ let X_n denote the set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}$ which have length n . Of course $X = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$. For $0 \leq n < \infty$ let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by the words of length n . Since the q -Serre relations are homogeneous we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n \quad (\text{direct sum}). \quad (37)$$

By the construction $X_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ for $0 \leq n < \infty$. By these comments we find X_n spans $\mathcal{A}_n$ for $0 \leq n < \infty$. We show

$$|X_n| = \dim(\mathcal{A}_n) \quad (0 \leq n < \infty). \quad (38)$$

Let v denote an indeterminate. In what follows, we consider formal power series in v which have coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. Line (38) will follow once we show

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |X_n| v^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(\mathcal{A}_n) v^n. \quad (39)$$

We claim

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(\mathcal{A}_n) v^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - v^{2m})^{-1} (1 - v^{2m-1})^{-2}. \quad (40)$$

To see (40), recall $\mathcal{A}$ is the positive part of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ and hence isomorphic to the Verma module for $\widehat{sl_2}$ [21, p. 123] as a graded vector space. Apparently the left-hand side of (40) is equal to the formal character of the Verma module. This character is inverse to the principally specialized Weyl denominator and is therefore equal to the right-hand side of (40) [7, p. 181]. We now have (40). For $0 \leq n < \infty$, by a *partition of n* we mean a sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ such that $\lambda_{i-1} \geq \lambda_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. We call $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ the *parts* of λ . We sometimes write $n = |\lambda|$. Let p_n denote the number of partitions of n . Let p'_n denote the number of partitions of n whose parts are mutually distinct. The following two generating functions are well known:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n v^n &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - v^m)^{-1}, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p'_n v^n &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - v^{2m-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

See for example [1, Theorem 1.1]. For $0 \leq n < \infty$ let Y_n denote the set of ordered pairs (λ, μ) such that (i) λ is a partition whose parts are mutually distinct; (ii) μ is a partition; (iii) $|\lambda| + |\mu| = n$. From the construction

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |Y_n| v^n &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p'_n v^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n v^n \right) \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - v^{2m})^{-1} (1 - v^{2m-1})^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

We show

$$|X_n| = |Y_n| \quad (0 \leq n < \infty). \quad (42)$$

To obtain (42), for $0 \leq n < \infty$ we display a bijection $\varepsilon : Y_n \rightarrow X_n$. Let (λ, μ) denote an element of Y_n and write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s)$. The image of (λ, μ) under ε is the following word in $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\dots x^{\lambda_4} y^{\lambda_3} x^{\lambda_2} y^{\lambda_1} x^{\mu_1} y^{\mu_2} x^{\mu_3} y^{\mu_4} \dots \quad (43)$$

By the construction the word (43) is irreducible with length n . Therefore the word (43) is contained in X_n . Using Lemma 2.28 we find $\varepsilon : Y_n \rightarrow X_n$ is a bijection. We now have (42). Combining (40), (41), (42) we obtain (39) and (38) follows. We conclude X_n is a basis of $\mathcal{A}_n$ for $0 \leq n < \infty$. By this and (37) we find X is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Remark 3.3 The following is a specialization of the Jacobi triple product identity [7, p. 185]:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-v)^{n^2} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - v^{2m})(1 - v^{2m-1})^2. \quad (44)$$

If we set $v = e^{\pi iz}$ for $i, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $i^2 = -1$ and $\text{Im}(z) > 0$, then either side of (44) is equal to the theta function $\theta_4(z)$. See [5, pp. 103–105] for more detail.

We give a suggestion for future research.

Problem 3.4 Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with identity generated by symbols x, y subject to the tridiagonal relations

$$\begin{aligned} [x, x^2y - \beta xyx + yx^2 - \gamma(xy + yx) - \varrho y] &= 0, \\ [y, y^2x - \beta yxy + xy^2 - \gamma^*(yx + xy) - \varrho^* x] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Find analogs of the higher order q -Serre relations which hold in $\mathcal{T}$. Find a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space $\mathcal{T}$.

Problem 3.5 Let the algebra $\mathcal{T}$ be as in Problem 3.4. An element of $\mathcal{T}$ is called *central* whenever it commutes with every element of $\mathcal{T}$. By definition the *center* of $\mathcal{T}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of the central elements of $\mathcal{T}$. Describe the center of $\mathcal{T}$. Find a generating set for this center.

4 Acknowledgment

The authors thank Georgia Benkart, Vyjayanthi Chari, Arjeh Cohen, Atsushi Matsuo, Anne Schilling, and Kenichiro Tanabe for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper. The authors thank Michio Jimbo for providing a proof of (40).

References

- [1] G. Andrews. *The Theory of Partitions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [2] R. Askey and J.A. Wilson. A set of orthogonal polynomials that generalize the Racah coefficients or $6-j$ symbols. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 10:1008–1016, 1979.
- [3] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
- [4] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 142:261–283, 1991.

- [5] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. *Sphere packings, Lattices and Groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [6] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes. In *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 56:167–192, 2001.
- [7] V. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] R. Koekoek and R. Swarttouw. *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analog*, volume 98-17 of *Reports of the faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics*. Delft, The Netherlands, 1998. Available at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/research.html>
- [9] D. Leonard. Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 13(4):656–663, 1982.
- [10] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*. Progress in Mathematics 110. Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.
- [11] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 1(4):363–388, 1992.
- [12] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 330:149–203, 2001.
- [13] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. *Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [14] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky mountain J. Math.*, 32(2):827–888, 2002.
- [15] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD - D canonical form and the LB - UB canonical form. *J. Algebra*, submitted.
- [16] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. (OPSFA Rome 2001), *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 153(2):463–475, 2003.
- [17] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1109):67–79, 1999. Algebraic combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).
- [18] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition. *Indag. Math.*, submitted.
- [19] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. *Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics 2, (Oisterwijk, The Netherlands 2002)*, submitted.

- [20] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and the q -Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, submitted.
- [21] Minoru Wakimoto. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 195, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2001.

Tatsuro Ito
 Department of Computational Science
 Faculty of Science
 Kanazawa University
 Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa 920–1192, Japan
 E-mail: ito@kappa.s.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Paul Terwilliger
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Wisconsin
 480 Lincoln drive
 Madison, Wisconsin, 53706, USA
 E-mail: terwilli@math.wisc.edu